

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/630,590	LU ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Zachariah Lucas	1648

**All Participants:**

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Shirley Chen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

26

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

#### Part II.


##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*It was noted that claim 26 depends from a rejected claim. It was agreed that the claim would be amended by Examiner's Amendment to delete dependency from the cancelled claim 6.*

#### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)